

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,370	12/20/2001	Robert Uyeki	23484-031	9654
. 36614 75	90 10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
MANATT PHELPS AND PHILLIPS ROBERT D. BECKER			RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM	
	LL ROAD, BUILDING 2		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO,	ALO ALTO, CA 94304 3629			
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	İ		
Advisory Action	10/027,370	UYEKI, ROBERT			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dennis Ruhl	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2000 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): none.					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered b					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. Other:		(١.		
	. (//2	7		
	P	DENNIS RUHL RIMARY EXAL			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner simply disagrees with applicant on what the claimed "geo-fencing means" is. The GPS system of Klein can be used to account for vehicles entering or leaving a designated area, just as the claim recites. This is because by using GPS, you know where a specific vehicle is located, which allows one to determine if the vehicle is inside or outisde of a given area. If a vehicle is located in the District of Columbia and the GPS system reflects this fact, this allows one to determine when and if the vehicle enters Virginia. A GPS system does the function that the claim requires in the "wherein" clause. This is a function that is provided by a GPS system. The examiner notes that applicant has not addressed the examiner's comments concerning 112,6th and the fact that the language "geo-fencing means" does not satisfy one of the 3 requirements to be given a 112,6th interpretation; however, applicant seems to be arguing a 112,6th interpretation. Also, claim 2 specifically recites a GPS system, which is what Klein discloses. The argument that the geo-fencing means is not a GPS system is non-persuasive.